http://dx.doi.org/10.18623/rvd.v23.5622

THE EFFICACY OF THE ROOT-AND-PATTERN SYSTEM IN VOCABULARY ACQUISITION: A MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF ARABIC

A EFICÁCIA DO SISTEMA DE RAÍZ E PADRÃO NA AQUISIÇÃO DE VOCABULÁRIO: UMA ANÁLISE MORFOLÓGICA NO CONTEXTO DO ÁRABE

Article received on: 12/11/2025
Article accepted on: 3/10/2026

Yeliz Çiçek*
*Kafkas University (KAU), Kars, Türkiye
Orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8041-5518
yeliz.cicek@kafkas.edu.tr

Mahmut Üstün*
*Kafkas University (KAU), Kars, Türkiye
Orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4902-1051
mhmtstn@hotmail.com

Ahmet Şen**
**Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University (EBYU), Erzincan, Türkiye
Orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4370-6962
ahsen5224@gmail.com

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest

Abstract
Vocabulary instruction is among the most decisive factors in foreign language acquisition. One of the primary challenges encountered in teaching Arabic as a foreign language (TAFL) is the expansion of lexicon and the retention of acquired vocabulary in long-term memory. For learners familiar with the Indo-European language family, the systematic structure of Arabic—a member of the Semitic family based on the root-and-pattern system—may initially appear complex; however, it offers a powerful didactic tool for lexical acquisition. This is primarily because the learning load increases in Indo-European languages if morphological organization is not systematically utilized in vocabulary teaching. This research aims to examine the efficacy of using 'root letters' and the 'pattern system' (awzan), which constitute the morphological backbone of the language, as an active instructional strategy in Arabic vocabulary teaching processes. Following a presentation of the structural characteristics of the Arabic root-and-pattern system, the study discusses the impact on student achievement when words are presented within a morphological framework rather than being memorized as isolated, independent units. Findings obtained through a literature review indicate that teaching the logic of roots and patterns facilitates learners' ability to infer the meanings of unknown words, enhances

Resumo
O ensino de vocabulário está entre os fatores mais decisivos na aquisição de uma língua estrangeira. Um dos principais desafios encontrados no ensino do árabe como língua estrangeira (TAFL) é a ampliação do léxico e a retenção do vocabulário adquirido na memória de longo prazo. Para alunos familiarizados com a família de línguas indo-europeias. a estrutura sistemática do árabe — membro da família semítica baseada no sistema de raiz e padrão — pode inicialmente parecer complexa; no entanto. ela oferece uma poderosa ferramenta didática para a aquisição lexical. Isso se deve principalmente ao fato de que a carga de aprendizagem aumenta nas línguas indo-europeias se a organização morfológica não for sistematicamente utilizada no ensino de vocabulário. Esta pesquisa tem como objetivo examinar a eficácia do uso das "letras-raiz" e do "sistema de padrões" (awzan). que constituem a espinha dorsal morfológica da língua. como estratégia instrucional ativa nos processos de ensino de vocabulário árabe. Após uma apresentação das características estruturais do sistema de raiz e padrão do árabe. o estudo discute o impacto no desempenho dos alunos quando as palavras são apresentadas dentro de uma estrutura morfológica. em vez de serem memorizadas como unidades isoladas e independentes. Os resultados obtidos por meio

their word-derivation skills, and contributes significantly to the formation of a mental lexicon. In this context, it is concluded that the root-based instructional approach in Arabic not only streamlines the learning process but also provides a model that can inspire innovative approaches to vocabulary teaching methods in general foreign language education.

Keywords: Teaching Arabic as a Foreign Language (TAFL). Vocabulary Instruction. Root-And-Pattern System. Morphological Awareness. Foreign Language Education.

de uma revisão da literatura indicam que o ensino da lógica das raízes e dos padrões facilita a capacidade dos alunos de inferir os significados de palavras desconhecidas. aprimora suas habilidades de derivação de palavras e contribui significativamente para a formação de um léxico mental. Nesse contexto. conclui-se que a abordagem instrucional baseada em raízes no árabe não apenas agiliza o processo de aprendizagem. mas também fornece um modelo que pode inspirar abordagens inovadoras para métodos de ensino de vocabulário no ensino geral de línguas estrangeiras.

Palavras-chave: *Ensino de Árabe como Língua Estrangeira (TAFL). Ensino de Vocabulário. Sistema de Raízes e Padrões. Consciência Morfológica. Ensino de Línguas Estrangeiras.*

1 INTRODUCTION

Words constitute the most essential building blocks of a language; the processes of communication and interaction rely entirely upon the breadth of one's lexicon. In the field of foreign language instruction, vocabulary teaching should be positioned at the heart of communication and must be selected in accordance with specific scientific standards. Vocabulary instruction is not independent of grammatical structures. Indeed, effective vocabulary teaching facilitates the construction of meaning, enables self-expression, and ensures linguistic sustainability (Sulaymān, 2019: 89).

In second language acquisition (SLA), vocabulary mastery is a fundamental prerequisite for overall language proficiency. The success of vocabulary instruction in this process is contingent upon the pedagogical methods employed and various extrinsic factors (Ṭu‘ayma, 1986: 615). Words are not merely isolated units of language; rather, they are constituents that align with multiple, interconnected systems and linguistic levels. In this context, there are numerous layers of knowledge that must be acquired regarding any given lexical item (Nation, 2001: 23).

Within this theoretical framework, comprehending the systems of word formation in a language facilitates the understanding and acquisition of new vocabulary. Instructors can significantly reduce the learning load by drawing attention to systematic patterns and

analogies within the target language. When vocabulary acquisition is built upon components already familiar to the learner—such as roots and affixes—it enhances mnemonic retention (Nation, 2001: 23, 46). For instance, if a learner is acquainted with the constituents *un-*, *pleasant*, and *-ness*, they are not required to acquire the word *unpleasantness* as an entirely novel lexical item; rather, they can discern the meaning by synthesizing these pre-existing components (Nation, 2001: 47).

Derivation is a morphological process directly correlated with language development and lexical enrichment, enabling the production of new words that are formally and semantically interrelated. This process relies on the root from which the new word originates, as well as the established patterns and methodologies determined by the language's morphological system (Qaddūr,1999: 204). Derivation contributes to the expansion of the lexicon by enhancing the language's expressive capacities, such as semantic diversification, transitivity, reflexivity, and commutability. Consequently, it is recognized as one of the most vital conduits for broadening vocabulary (al-Duwaymī, 2003: 33; Ismā'īl, 2010: 40; Rusyidah, 2019: 120; Qaddūr, 1999: 204). In this regard, derivation is not merely a formal operation but a cognitive system that directly influences the process of vocabulary acquisition.

These general principles are not merely grammatical rules, particularly in languages such as Arabic. The morphological patterns that words assume serve as the primary cornerstone of linguistic creativity. The enrichment process of the language is predicated on the principle of mapping mental meanings onto existing morphological templates and constructing upon these structures. In this context, the lexical wealth of the language is fundamentally comprised of nouns, adjectives, and verbs. Consequently, the expansion of the lexicon and the preservation of linguistic dynamism are made possible through the mechanisms of templating and analogy (qiyas) provided by morphological patterns (Ḥassān, 1994: 151).

The regular and derivable structure of Arabic, primarily based on the triliteral root system, evokes the notion of a 'mathematical abstraction' for a Western learner. Although the language is inherently complex and diverse in practice, it is this abstract and systematic framework that leaves the most profound impression on the mind. The system comprised of three radical consonants (the root) and the derived forms (*wazns*) applied to them imbues the language with 'clarity, logic, and system' (Stetkevych, 2006: 2). This

structure reinforces the learnability and systematic nature of the language by enabling lexical items to be perceived as 'mathematical formulas'.

The role of derivational morphology in vocabulary acquisition is frequently emphasized in linguistics and language acquisition studies. It is noted that derivations, particularly those whose meanings are semantically transparent and closely related to the root, are acquired earlier and more easily by learners. This situation demonstrates that vocabulary instruction based on the root-and-pattern system possesses a theoretical structure that inherently supports the learning process.

In the Arabic linguistic tradition, the morphological and semantic bond between words is metaphorically defined by grammarians and lexicographers as 'silat al-rahim' (the bond of kinship). According to this conceptualization, derivatives preserve a common semantic core despite variations in their literal configurations. This approach finds its roots in classical linguistic theory; notably, Ibn Jinni (d. 392/1002), in his seminal work *al-Khasa'is*, argued that different combinations of a set of radical letters converge upon a shared semantic denominator, highlighting the profound yet systematic simplicity of the Arabic root system. In the lexicographical tradition, the classification of words according to their roots is a reflection of this systematic architecture, aiming to provide a comprehensive grasp of a word's semantic depth (Ḥassān, 1994: 168). Within this theoretical and linguistic framework, the present study addresses Arabic through its root-and-pattern morphology. However, the role of morphological awareness in vocabulary acquisition is not exclusive to Semitic languages. In agglutinative languages such as Turkish, the discernment of roots and affixes similarly supports the establishment of derivational networks within the mental lexicon. In the case of Arabic, the root-and-pattern organization transforms this mechanism into a potent strategic advantage in vocabulary instruction by anchoring it to an even more systematic architecture.

2 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK: THE MORPHOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF ARABIC

Arabic morphology (*sarf*) is a branch of linguistics concerned with word structures and their respective patterns. For learners of Arabic as a second language, achieving proficiency in this domain is highly critical for overall linguistic success. In

this regard, comprehending lexical patterns is of paramount importance in the process of language acquisition (Ebrāhīm Muhammad, 2006: 10).

The Arabic morphological system is established upon three fundamental pillars. The first encompasses the meanings that words acquire through various patterns (*awzan*) and inflections (*tasrif*). The second consists of structural elements—whether in their primary/unaugmented (*mujarrad*) or augmented (*mazid*) forms—that derive meaning through particles or, in some instances, through the latent presence of an element (*istitar*). These components manifest through relationships established with particles or via constituents that remain implicit within the structure. The third pillar involves the interrelationships between these structures, their distinctive features, and their relations of opposition. These frameworks align with the concept of the 'morpheme' in modern linguistics and materialize in concrete language as functional mental categories (Ḥassān, 1994: 82). This approach highlights the nexus between meaning, structure, and signifier, thereby elucidating the connection between the formal elements of language and their mental representations.

This theoretical framework is epitomized in the root-and-pattern system, the most distinctive feature of the Arabic language. This system serves as one of the primary mechanisms for the language's lexical productivity. Word formation in Arabic is predicated on the interplay between invariant root consonants and the specific patterns (*awzan*) into which these roots are integrated (Taha & Saiegh-Haddad, 2016: 6). The majority of Arabic lexical items are derived from triliteral roots, with the template 'f-‘-l' (فعل) serving as the fundamental morphological paradigm. The root-and-pattern system ensures that the three radical letters remain constant during derivation and inflection, thereby preserving the core semantic essence of the word. When the number of letters increases, necessary adjustments are made to the pattern according to the nature of the augmentation; specifically, the *shadda* (gemination) is counted as two letters, and any additional (*ziyadah*) letters are evaluated relative to the root (al-Ḥamalāwī, 1957: 53; Ismā‘īl, 2010: 41). Consequently, the pattern becomes an analytical tool that simultaneously reflects both the root structure and the derivational characteristics of the word.

The invariance of root consonants establishes a shared semantic and conceptual unity among all derived lexical items. For instance, words derived from the root ' ‘-l-m'

(ع ل م), such as *al-ʿilm* (knowledge), *al-ʿulum* (sciences), *al-ʿulama* (scholars), *al-istiʿlam* (inquiry), and *al-muʿallimat* (teachers), all preserve the core radical letters and the fundamental underlying meaning (Ismāʻīl, 2010: 41). Consequently, the relationship between words originating from the same root is both lexical and semantic. They are lexically interrelated because the root consonants remain intact despite formal morphological variations. They are semantically interrelated because these words share a common core meaning, notwithstanding differences in their specific semantic scopes (Amīn, 2000: 178). The fact that the majority of classical Arabic dictionaries are organized according to the root system is a direct pedagogical and linguistic consequence of this morphological logic.

In Arabic, word formation is expressed through the concept of *ishtiqaq* (derivation). Classical linguists have defined *ishtiqaq* as a system predicated on the relationship between the phonological form (*lafz*) and the meaning (*ma'na*) of words. Within this framework, derivation is defined as 'the process of generating new words from a source term while maintaining a consistent relationship in both form and meaning' (al-Ḥamalāwī, 1957: 111; Amīn, 2000: 1). In classical sources, *ishtiqaq* is categorized under four primary headings: minor derivation (*al-ishtiqaq al-saghir*), major derivation (*al-ishtiqaq al-kabir*), linguistic metathesis (*al-qalb al-lughawi*), and blending or compounding (*al-naht*) (al-Ḥamalāwī, 1957: 112; Amīn, 2000: 1-2; Stetkevych, 2006: 7). These various modes of derivation encourage learners of Arabic to explore terminology and transform these linguistic units for use in their own expressive output.

Al-Ishtiqaq al-Saghir (Minor Derivation) involves altering the pattern of a word while preserving the original sequence of its radical letters and its semantic bond. The derived form remains congruent with its source in terms of both root and structure; this process constitutes the primary subject matter of *sarf* (morphology). *Al-Ishtiqaq al-Kabir* (Major Derivation) refers to the substitution of a letter within a word with another that shares a similar point of articulation (*makhraj*), a process also known as *ibdal*. *Al-Qalb* (Metathesis) is a derivational method achieved through the transposition of root consonants. *Al-Naht* (Blending/Compounding) is the technique of forming a single new word from two or more existing words (Rusyidah, 2019: 117). These diverse derivational modes significantly influence Arabic instruction, as they establish the linguistic

frameworks that learners must internalize and apply. Consequently, linguistic derivation is extensively utilized throughout the educational process (Rusyidah, 2019: 111).

3 THE ROOT-AND-PATTERN STRUCTURE AND ITS SEMANTIC RELATIONSHIP IN ARABIC

One of the defining characteristics of Arabic is the alteration of meaning through the application of affixes and patterns while the word root remains constant. The Arabic language distinguishes itself from many others by its efficacious use of morphological forms in delineating the boundaries between lexical items within a given context. While numerous languages lack such a rigorous structural foundation, in Arabic, these morphological forms emerge as a significant facilitation for researchers and represent one of the primary strengths of the language (Amīn, 2000: 176).

Every morphological modification within a word structure leads to a direct expansion of meaning; these alterations systematically correspond to specific concepts—such as disease, motion, or intensification—through established patterns. Through both regular (analogical/*qiyasi*) and irregular (conventional/*samai*) derivational methods, the language is capable of generating an extensive word family from a single root, with each derivative being semantically differentiated (al-Duwaymī, 2003: 34).

In the unique morphological architecture of Arabic, nearly every lexical item is constructed through the integration of two fundamental morphemes: a root and a word pattern (*wazn*), which together constitute the final surface form of the word. In Arabic language curricula, the root-and-pattern organization aims to shift the instructional focus away from the rote memorization of isolated words. Instead, it targets vocabulary acquisition through 'word families' structured around a common root or 'semantic clusters' conveyed by specific patterns. This approach enables learners to perceive the language not as a collection of arbitrary units, but as a systematic network of interrelated meanings.

One of the most salient elements of the root-and-pattern system is the *wazn* (pattern). Integrating words into specific patterns provides the learner with direct information regarding the semantic category of the lexical item. These patterns function as vehicles for predictable semantic meanings. For instance, the *afʿal* (أَفْعَل) pattern is predominantly utilized to denote colors or physical attributes (Amīn, 2000: 263).

Similarly, the *fa ʿʿāl* (فَعَّال) pattern serves as an intensive form, indicating the frequent or habitual performance of an action (Amīn, 2000: 253).

The root-and-pattern system is equally prominent in verbs; Arabic verbs typically consist of three radical letters that constitute the skeletal framework of the verbal stem (Ebrāhīm Muhammad, 2006: 20). The most significant pedagogical advantage of this morphological structure is the capacity to derive a vast array of meanings from a single root. While this characteristic is inherent to Semitic languages, it is exceptionally pronounced in Arabic. Derived forms are constructed by inserting additional letters either before or within the radical consonants of the primary verb. For instance, the root *n-ṣ-r* (ن ص ر), which fundamentally denotes 'to help,' serves as the basis for deriving various verbs with distinct semantic nuances, such as the following (Nation, 2001: 91):

Figure 1

نَاصَرَ	to support
تَنَصَّرَ	to try to help
تَنَاصَرَ	to render mutual assitance
اِنْتَصَرَ	to come to someone’s aid
اِسْتَنْصَرَ	to ask for assitance

This system enables learners of Arabic to enrich their vocabulary in a systematic manner. Once the primary meaning of a specific root consonant group is internalized, it becomes possible to infer the meanings of derived lexical items across various patterns (*awzan*). Furthermore, through the methods of *ishtiqaq* (derivation) and *naht* (compounding), new concepts can be integrated into the language, ensuring its lexical dynamism (Amīn, 2000: 10).

When evaluated within this framework, the root-and-pattern structure of Arabic demonstrates that lexical formation is not an arbitrary process but is governed by a profound logical and systematic order. This structural regularity underscores that the Arabic lexicon is organized through a predictable morphological matrix, which serves as the foundational architecture for both language production and comprehension.

4 THE FACILITATIVE ROLE OF THE ROOT-AND-PATTERN SYSTEM IN VOCABULARY ACQUISITION WITHIN FOREIGN LANGUAGE EDUCATION

Morphological knowledge possesses a multifaceted structure. Derivational morphology is comprised of three dimensions: lexical-semantic, syntactic, and distributional. These encompass the comprehension of semantic relationships, the recognition of grammatical categories, and the mastery of root-affix combination constraints (Tyler & Nagy, 1987: 6; Yazidi Alaoui, 2023: 21). This multidimensional morphological architecture is particularly prominent and instructionally functional in languages based on the root-and-pattern system. Literature indicates that morphological awareness facilitates students' comprehension of novel and complex lexical items (‘Abd al-Ḥakīm, 2021: 60). Furthermore, introducing 'root awareness' especially during the early stages of acquisition is highly effective in establishing a robust foundational vocabulary (Yazidi Alaoui, 2023: 28).

The derivational patterns and morphological structure of words illustrate the semantic relationships that group lexical items within a specific conceptual domain. The morphological criterion in this context denotes the relationship between words that share a similar morphological template (Sulaymān, 2019: 105). As previously established, morphological knowledge is inherently multidimensional. Consequently, employing the root-and-pattern system in vocabulary instruction enables the learner to internalize the underlying linguistic logic of the language. This approach facilitates a transition from fragmented memorization to a holistic understanding of the language's generative mechanisms.

Within this theoretical framework, when vocabulary acquisition processes in foreign language teaching are examined, the fundamental semantic values of roots are expressed through variations in the different surface verbal forms in which they are embedded. For instance, the core meaning of 'separation' inherent in the root *f-r-q* (ف ر ق) is semantically traceable across all its derived forms, such as *faraqa* (to separate), *farraqa* (to disperse), *fāraqa* (to sever ties/disassociate), *tafarraqa* (to be divided), and *infaraqa* (to be split into parts) (Boudelaa & Marslen-Wilson, 2015: 957).

Since a pattern (*wazn*) is a morphological structure grounded in the realities of linguistic analysis, it is examined through examples across various paradigms—whether additive, inflectional, or predicative. Comprehending the functional value of a template reduces the necessity for the atomistic analysis of every individual word sharing the same structure. This represents the true value of the 'structure' (*mebnâ*) in linguistic analysis: while morphological structures are finite, the number of lexical instances derived from these structures is theoretically infinite. For instance, when the *mafʿūl* (مفعول) template is internalised as a formal structure rather than a mere specific example, any morphological operation applicable to words such as *madrūb* (beaten) or *maqtūl* (killed) can be systematically extended to the entire paradigm. Similarly, once the structural logic is grasped, the interrelatedness among other adjectival patterns—such as *fāʿil* (فاعل), *faʿūl* (فعول), and *faʿīl* (فعيل)—becomes readily transparent (Ḥassān, 1994: 154).

Some scholars have expanded the concept of 'semantic fields' to encompass derivational patterns, categorizing them as 'morpho-semantic fields.' Through this methodological approach, a student internalizes not merely a single lexical unit, but an entire family of words derived from a shared root. For instance, by using the root *f-t-ḥ* (فَتَحَ / to open) as a foundation, the learner can cognitively weave a network of related terms such as *fātiḥ* (opener/agent), *miftāḥ* (key/instrument), *maftūḥ* (opened/object), *iftitāḥ* (opening/inauguration), *infitāḥ* (openness), and *istiftāḥ* (commencement) (Sulaymān, 2019: 105). A study by the Egyptian engineer Hasan Husayn Fahmi further corroborates the potency of this system in vocabulary expansion. Utilizing the root *ṣ-h-r* (صهر / to melt/liquefy), Fahmi demonstrated that 196 distinct lexical items could be generated through the pattern system, each possessing a clear and functional meaning (‘Abd al-Ḥakīm, 2021: 86; Stetkevych, 2006: 12).

In Arabic, patterns (*awzan*) impart specific and predictable semantic values to words, which constitutes a significant advantage in vocabulary instruction. For instance, designated patterns—such as *mifʿal* (مِفْعَل), *mifʿalah* (مِفْعَلَة), and *mifʿāl(*مِفْعَال)—are systematically employed to derive instrumental nouns (*ism al-ālah*) from verbs (Ḥusayn, 1934: 67). Once a learner is cognizant of these patterns, they can immediately identify a lexical item as an 'instrument' or 'tool,' even upon their first encounter with the word. This morphological regularity provides a standardized methodology for the rapid and systematic expansion of a learner's lexicon.

The root-and-pattern system (*qiyas*) plays a pivotal role in enabling the language to accommodate novel concepts introduced by modern sciences and contemporary civilization. Rather than adopting foreign loanwords verbatim, the generation of new terminology through Arabic's intrinsic morphological system facilitates the preservation of linguistic integrity while ensuring the lexicon remains current (Ḥusayn, 1934: 20). This capacity is critical in advanced vocabulary instruction, as it allows learners to grasp the underlying logic of term formation. By understanding how the language evolves through its own internal mechanisms, students transition from passive recipients of vocabulary to active participants in the linguistic architecture of the language.

Language education is predicated on the instructor's ability to facilitate the active use of the language through systematic examples and practical exercises. This process enhances learners' capacity to recognize derivational patterns, discern subtle semantic nuances, and effectively employ stylistic expressions. Instructors should encourage students to develop an intuition for derived forms (*awzan*) and to utilize them strategically to express diverse meanings (al-Duwaymī, 2003: 35). By shifting the pedagogical focus from passive recognition to active production, the root-and-pattern system becomes a dynamic tool for linguistic creativity.

In conclusion, the literature suggests that the ability to decompose a word into its root and derivatives—namely, morphological analysis—is a determinative factor in decoding word meanings and enhancing reading comprehension. Consequently, it is recommended that educational curricula place a deliberate focus on this morphological architecture. The root-and-pattern system is far more than a mere rote-based instructional method; it is a potent analytical tool that cultivates a learner's capacity for lexical production, the deciphering of semantic relationships, and the appreciation of the language's aesthetic dimension. By internalizing this systematic structure, students develop the cognitive frameworks necessary for long-term lexical retention and sophisticated linguistic proficiency.

4 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ROOT-AND-PATTERN SYSTEM AS A MORPHOLOGICAL AWARENESS TEACHING STRATEGY

This section addresses the instructional function of the morphological awareness approach within the context of the Arabic root-and-pattern system. A morpheme is defined as the smallest meaningful unit of language. For instance, in English, the word 'house' consists of a single morpheme, whereas 'impossibility' comprises three distinct morphemes. In agglutinative languages, morphemes are appended sequentially and are clearly discernible (Watson, 2021: 405). Conversely, in non-concatenative languages—including Arabic—morphemes cannot be separated linearly along a horizontal axis (Watson, 2021: 406).

Morphological awareness is defined as the learner's ability to transform words into diverse forms, particularly by relying on their underlying roots. In this process, the word is decomposed such that each constituent part expresses a specific morphological meaning; thus, the individual can identify the semantic radicals upon which these meanings are based (‘Abd al-Ḥakīm, 2021: 62-63). Comprehending the morphological structure of a language significantly contributes to its more effective use—both as a native and a foreign language—and to the systematic enhancement of the lexicon. Through morphological awareness, students can derive complex lexical items from simpler ones and decipher the meanings of these words by analyzing their internal structures (Memiş, 2019: 1356-1357).

When evaluating the efficacy of the root-and-pattern system for vocabulary instruction, it is of paramount importance to delineate a functional map of the affixes that constitute a word's morphological structure. Research posits that affixes are not merely phonetic augmentations; rather, they are morphemes that impart functional meaning to the lexical item. Within this context, affixes are categorized into three distinct types: prefixes, infixes, and suffixes (Amīn, 2000: 187).

In Arabic root morphology, lexical roots possess a structure that is not merely orthographic or sequential, but fundamentally feature-based. According to this approach, the transposition of the two primary consonants at the semantic core, or the clustering of consonants with shared phonological features, preserves the semantic bond across word families (Boudelaa & Marslen-Wilson, 2001). This phonosemantic continuity suggests

that the mental lexicon organizes Arabic roots through abstract clusters rather than isolated letter sequences. The following table illustrates exemplary roots and their derivatives, reifying the systematicity of Arabic root morphology:

Figure 2

Root Etymon / Features	Conceptual Core	Lexical Examples (Transliterate)	(Transliterate)Lexical Examples (Arabic)	Semantic Interrelation
ت، م	Termination / Cessation	Maata Tamma	مات تمّ	Etimon Reversibility
ب، ت	Severance / Separation	Batara Tabba Sabata	بتر تبّ	Etimon Identifation
ف، ق	Succession / Compliance	Tawfîqun Iqtifâ‘un Wifqan	توفيق اقتفاء	Semantic Opacity
Labial + Pharyngeal	Binding / Constrain	Rabata Habasa Hablun	ربط حبس حبل	Featural Specification

The root-and-pattern system facilitates the expression of complex concepts through a single lexical unit, which in other languages might require multi-word constructions. This structural conciseness allows the learner to encode sophisticated meanings using symbols that occupy minimal cognitive space. For instance, while English necessitates a periphrastic construction such as 'to make fly' to express the causative action of flying, Arabic utilizes its morphological framework to convey the same meaning through a single derived word, *ṭayyara* (طيّر) (al-Duwaymī, 2003: 35). This synthetic nature of Arabic morphology effectively optimizes the mapping between form and meaning within the mental lexicon.

Observations indicate that students possessing an extensive repertoire of roots and patterns acquire Arabic language proficiencies within a significantly shorter timeframe. In essence, the root-and-pattern system functions as a structural skeleton that sustains the language's architecture, enabling learners to decipher meanings and derive new lexical items through systematic logic rather than through the rote memorization of isolated units. The most profound impact of this system on vocabulary instruction is its capacity to facilitate the generation of a vast array of lexical categories—including verbs, nouns, and adjectives—from a single semantic root.

5 STRATEGIC ADVANTAGES OF THE WORD FAMILY APPROACH WITHIN THE ROOT-AND-PATTERN SYSTEM

A word family is comprised of a primary headword, its inflected forms, and its closely related derivatives. In the context of vocabulary instruction, the 'word family' construct constitutes the fundamental logic of the root-and-pattern system. According to Nation (2001), a word family encompasses a headword along with its inflections and transparently derived forms (p. 8). By adopting this framework, the root-and-pattern system transitions from a purely morphological exercise to a strategic pedagogical model that aligns with how the human mental lexicon categorizes and retrieves interconnected lexical units.

Languages are classified into distinct groups based on their structural characteristics and modes of word formation. In languages centered on compounding (compositional), nouns, verbs, and adjectives are typically formed through the affixation or attachment of various morphemes to roots. In isolating or analytic languages, word construction primarily relies on the juxtaposition of independent units; here, semantic distinctions—such as those between adjectives and adverbs or categories of tense and gender—are frequently conveyed through syntactic and phonological features, such as intonation. Conversely, in derivational (inflectional) languages, root structures generally consist of triliteral verbs, and morphological rules are shaped according to variations in meaning-based lexical patterns. It is observed that compounding is a prevalent feature in Indo-European languages, isolation in Mongolic languages, and derivation in Semitic languages (al-Duwaymī, 2003: 34).

In such languages, word formation typically occurs through the linear affixation of morphemes to a root. For example, the transformation from *help* to *helper* illustrates this sequential process. However, there are several lexical characteristics that distinguish Arabic from English and other Indo-European languages. Foremost among these distinctions is the presence of a fundamentally different morphological system (Aljohani, 2025: 7).

Specifically, the root-and-pattern structure observed in Semitic languages constitutes a distinct field of morphological inquiry. In contrast to the additive (concatenative) structure found in Indo-European languages, Semitic languages possess

a pattern-based lexical architecture where the root and the template are intertwined. In this structure, the 'root'—comprised of consonant radicals that carry the core semantic value—is embedded within 'vocalic patterns' (templates) that determine the word's grammatical category and specific meaning (Freynik et al., 2017: 22-23).

In classical Arabic linguistics, lexical units are conceptualized within a relationship of *asl* (primary root) and *far'* (derivative). According to seminal grammarians such as Sibawayh and al-Suyuti, the vast majority of words are derived from triliteral roots. For instance, while the root radicals *ḍ-r-b* (ضَ رَ بَ) represent a stable semantic core (the essence of 'striking'), the specific patterns (*awzan*) into which these radicals are embedded impart functional and contextual modulations to the word (Amīn, 2000: 182).

Figure 3

ضَرْبٌ	مُضَارِبٌ	مِضْرِبٌ	مَضْرُوبٌ	ضَارِبٌ	ضَرَبَ
Strikind/hitting	Combatant/Reciprocal hitter	Racket/Striking tool	Struck/The one hit	Striker/One Who hits	He struck/hit

This structural system possesses not only formal but also significant cognitive correlates. The root-and-pattern framework inherent in Semitic languages, such as Arabic and Hebrew, holds strategic importance for vocabulary instruction and acquisition. In contrast to Western languages, these languages exhibit a 'non-linear' (non-concatenative) architecture where morphemes are not appended sequentially. It has been argued that this unique structure provides an ideal framework for isolating morphological effects from other linguistic variables. Proponents of this view maintain that the 'triliteral root' system lies at the core of lexical processing and mental representation in Arabic. This underscores the extent to which a root-based approach in vocabulary instruction is a fundamental cognitive necessity (Boudelaa & Marslen-Wilson, 2001: 66).

Based on the premise that core meaning is encapsulated within root consonants, Arabic grammarians established the *fa-ʿa-la* (فعل) template as a formal heuristic to represent the root. This structural paradigm aims to discern the radical consonants (*asl*) from augmentative elements (*ziyadah*). For instance, when the root *n-q-l* (ن-ق-ل), representing the concept of 'movement/transportation,' is integrated into various patterns, it generates a cluster of words united by a shared semantic denominator: *naqala* (to transport), *nāqil* (transporter/carrier), *naqlah* (a move/transport), and *nuqila* (it was

transported) (Boudelaa & Marslen-Wilson, 2001: 66; Qaddūr, 1999: 53). This systematic approach posits that—excluding pronouns, adverbs, particles, and certain interjections—the vast majority of the Arabic lexicon is inherently derivational (Ḥassān, 1994: 169).

In languages such as Arabic and Hebrew, morphological units are cognitively abstract in nature. Due to their non-concatenative and discontinuous structures, roots and patterns cannot be parsed through direct segmentation; rather, they must be mentally reconstructed. Furthermore, because the consonantal nature of the Arabic orthographic system provides incomplete information regarding these templates, the specific qualities of these structures can only be discerned through partial phonological and semantic cues (Boudelaa & Marslen-Wilson, 2015: 975).

Root consonants encapsulate the core semantic essence, which is shared to varying degrees by the majority of a specific root's derivatives. In contrast, the lexical pattern (template) provides information regarding the phonological structure of the surface form, as well as its formal and syntactic properties (Boudelaa & Marslen-Wilson, 2015, p. 256). This dual characteristic facilitates the systematic application of root-based analysis in pedagogical contexts. In Modern Standard Arabic, the triliteral structure originates from a 'skeletal morpheme' to which the surface form is subsequently adapted. Processes of consonant insertion or deletion clearly demonstrate this functional role; thus, the triliteral appearance is the result of phonological processes mediated by the morphological structure (Boudelaa & Marslen-Wilson, 2001: 83).

This mode of cognitive organization carries significant pedagogical implications beyond its theoretical value. Identifying a word’s root and its subsequent derivatives is a primary methodology employed for semantic disambiguation. For instance, the term *mukātabah* (مُكَاتَبَةٌ) can be effectively elucidated through its root *kataba* (كَتَبَ) and its related derivatives, such as *kātib* (كَاتِبٌ), *maktūb* (مَكْتُوبٌ), and *kitāb* (كِتَابٌ). This instructional method is particularly potent in languages where the prefixation and affixation of morphemes are prevalent mechanisms for altering lexical meaning. Similarly, in English, various words with distinct semantic nuances—such as *readability*, *reading, readable,* and *reader*—can be derived from the base verb *read* (Ṭu‘ayma, 1986: 620-625).

This mode of cognitive organization carries significant implications for language pedagogy. Across world languages, morphological structures based on the root-and-

pattern system play a pivotal role in the mental organization of the lexicon. Contrary to conventional wisdom, this intricate system is decodable not only by native speakers but also by second-language learners. Individuals acquiring a second language—even if their native tongue possesses a fundamentally different typological structure—can learn to discern triliteral roots as autonomous morphological constituents, independent of their superimposed patterns (Freynik et al., 2017: 40).

In languages such as Arabic, the system of derivation (*ishtiqaq*) demonstrates that words derived from a common root are structured around a shared fundamental meaning. While the *masdar* (verbal noun) represents this core meaning in its most abstract and comprehensive form, derivatives—such as verbs, active participles (agents), and passive participles (objects)—function as morphological structures that reflect diverse functional dimensions of the underlying action (Ḥassān, 1994: 166). This hierarchy suggests that the *masdar* serves as the conceptual anchor within the mental lexicon, from which various functional realizations are systematically generated.

This morphological system is not unique to Arabic; it provides a common comparative ground across other Semitic languages and constitutes the very foundation of lexicographical activities (Amīn, 2000: 182). In Semitic languages such as Arabic, the mental lexicon is organized not through holistic lexical stems, but rather through a decompositional framework of roots and patterns (Boudelaa, 2014: 31). This implies that lexical retrieval and processing are mediated by the activation of these two distinct morphological constituents, rather than the recognition of a word as a single, unitary block.

The word family approach, rooted in the root-and-pattern system, supports the organization of the learner's mental lexicon by systematizing the complex mappings between form and meaning. In this regard, the system provides a structured, predictable, and functionally cognitive model that is highly effective for both first-language acquisition and second-language instruction. By aligning pedagogical strategies with the natural decompositional architecture of the Arabic lexicon, this approach fosters morphological awareness, thereby enhancing the efficiency of lexical retrieval and long-term retention.

6 CONCLUSION AND PEDAGOGICAL IMPLICATIONS

The findings presented in this study indicate that foreign language instruction should move away from holistic rote memorization of vocabulary and instead adopt a root-and-pattern-based approach that aligns with the inherent morphological nature of the language. This methodology transitions vocabulary instruction from a haphazard memorization process into a strategic framework that equips the learner with a systematic logic of derivation. By internalizing this derivational morphology, students gain the cognitive tools necessary to independently decipher and generate complex lexical units, thereby fostering long-term lexical autonomy and enhanced linguistic proficiency.

The fundamental characteristic of this system is the organization of lexical units around a shared morphological core, despite their diverse surface-level variations. In the context of vocabulary instruction, this necessitates the concurrent presentation of word families that establish 'semantic interrelations' derived from a common root, rather than teaching isolated individual meanings. When students discern the underlying unity of roots or patterns within words that appear distinct on the surface, their vocabulary acquisition transitions into a durable and structured cognitive framework. This morphological awareness ensures that the lexicon is not merely a collection of disparate items but a cohesive and retrievable mental network.

Within the framework of 'Morpho-Semantic Fields,' derivation constitutes the most fundamental condition of languages predicated on the root-and-pattern logic, such as Arabic. Consequently, merely consulting a dictionary for a word's literal meaning is insufficient for achieving comprehensive linguistic understanding; rather, it is essential to grasp how a word transitions from its primary root into a specific template (*wazn*), thereby acquiring new morphological elements and nuanced semantic values. This process involves a sophisticated decoding of how root radicals are reconfigured within various patterns to generate distinct yet interrelated conceptual layers.

The utilization of roots, affixes, and structural patterns in vocabulary instruction triggers a 'priming effect' during lexical recognition through the activation of roots and templates. In this process, the brain performs a 'morphological decomposition' prior to semantic evaluation. Because lexical items are parsed automatically, repeated exposure to the same pattern significantly reduces recognition latency. Furthermore, when a new

word is composed of constituents already familiar to the learner, the mnemonic load is diminished, thereby rendering the meanings of novel lexical units increasingly predictable.

In light of the synthesized data, it can be argued that an instructional model centered on morphological awareness significantly enhances learner attitudes toward the language. Once students discover the systematic architecture of the language, they begin to perceive lexical units not as isolated entities, but as interconnected components of a cohesive system. This shift facilitates not only a quantitative expansion of vocabulary but also the cultivation of linguistic intuition and independent learning skills. These findings, which align with existing literature across various educational levels, underscore the necessity of integrating the root-and-pattern system into the core curriculum of Arabic language instruction.

REFERENCES

‘Abd al-Ḥakīm, M. F. (2021). al-waʿy al-mūrūfūlūjī wa-ʿalāqatuhu bi-l-fahm al-qirāʾī ladā al-talāmīdh al- ‘ādiyyīn wa-dhawī ṣuʿūbāt taʿallum al-qirāʾa bi-l-marḥala al-i‘dādiyya. *27*, 54–104.

al-Duwaymī, K. M. N. (2003). *Asālīb Tadrīs Qawāʿid al-Lugha al-ʻArabiyya*. Dār al-Manāhij.

al-Ḥamalāwī, A. b. M. b. ‘Abd al-M. (1957). *Shadhā al-ʻUrf fī Fann al-Ṣarf* (12th ed.). Dār al-Kitāb al-‘Arabī.

Aljohani, R. S. (2025). Orthographic Effects in L2 Arabic Word Processing: A Priming Study [Master’s thesis]. University of Maryland, College Park.

Amīn, ‘Abd Allāh. (2000). *al-Ishtiqāq* (2nd ed.). Maktabat al-Nahḍa.

Boudelaa, S. (2014). Is the Arabic Mental Lexicon Morpheme-Based or Stem-Based? Implications for Spoken and Written Word Recognition. İçinde E. Saiegh-Haddad & R. M. Joshi (Ed.), *Handbook of Arabic Literacy* (C. 9, s. 31-54). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8545-7_2

Boudelaa, S., & Marslen-Wilson, W. D. (2001). Morphological Units in the Arabic Mental Lexicon. *Cognition*, 81(1), 65-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-0277(01)00119-6

Boudelaa, S., & Marslen-Wilson, W. D. (2015). Structure, form, and meaning in the mental lexicon: Evidence from Arabic. *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience*, 30(8), 955-992. https://doi.org/10.1080/23273798.2015.1048258

Ebrāhīm Muhammad, M. (2006). *From the Treasures of Arabic Morphology* (1. bs). Academy for Islamic Research.

Freynik, S., Gor, K., & O'Rourke, P. (2017). L2 processing of Arabic derivational morphology. *The Mental Lexicon*, 12(1), 21-50. https://doi.org/10.1075/ml.12.1.02fre

Ḥassān, T. (1994). *al-Lugha al-'Arabiyya: Ma'nāhā wa-Mabnāhā*. Dār al-Thaqāfa.

Ḥusayn, M. al-Khiḍr. (1934). *al-Qiyās fī al-Lugha al-'Arabiyya*. Maṭba'at al-Salafiyya wa-Maktabatuhā.

Ismā'īl, B. Ḥ. (2010). *Istirātījiyyāt Tadrīs al-Lugha al-'Arabiyya: Uṭur Naẓariyya wa-Taṭbīqāt 'Amaliyya*. Dār al-Manāhij.

Memiş, M. R. (2019). Morfolojik Farkındalık ve Morfolojik Farkındalık Eğitimi. *Uluslararası Türkçe Edebiyat Kültür Eğitim Dergisi*, 8(3), 1355-1368.

Nation, I. S. P. (2001). *Learning Vocabulary in Another Language*. Cambridge University Press.

Qaddūr, A. M. (1999). *Madkhal ilā Fiqh al-Lugha al-'Arabiyya* (2nd ed.). Dār al-Fikr.

Rusyidah, I. (2019). Ahamiyyat al-Ishtiqāq fī Taṭawwur al-Lugha al-'Arabiyya wa-Āthāruhu fī Ta'līmihā. *4*(2), 109–133.

Stetkevych, J. (2006). *The Modern Arabic Literary Language: Lexical and Stylistic Developments*. Georgetown University Press.

Sulaymān, M. J. (2019). Ma'āyīr Ta'līm al-Mufradāt fī Barāmij Ta'līm al-'Arabiyya li-n-Nāṭiqīn bi-Ghayrihā fī Ḍaw' Naẓariyyat al-Ḥuqūl al-Dalāliyya. *International Journal of Research in Educational Sciences*, 2(2), 85–110. https://doi.org/10.29009/ijres.2.2.3

Taha, H., & Saiegh-Haddad, E. (2016). Morphology and Spelling in Arabic: Development and Interface. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 45(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10936-016-9425-3

Ṭu'ayma, R. A. (1986). *al-Marji' fī Taʿlīm al-Lugha al-'Arabiyya li-n-Nāṭiqīn bi-Lughāt Ukhrā*. Jāmi'at Umm al-Qurā.

Tyler, A., & Nagy, W. (1987). The Acquisition of English Derivational Morphology (Technical Report No. 407; s. 33). *Center for the Study of Reading, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign*.

Watson, J. C. E. (2021). Arabic Morphology: Inflectional and Derivational. İçinde K. C. Ryding & D. Wilmsen (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Arabic Linguistics* (s. 405-424). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108277327.018

Yazidi Alaoui, A. (2023). In Search of Effective Second Language Arabic Vocabulary Teaching Strategies: Theory and Implementation [Master of Second Language Teaching Portfolio, Utah State University]. https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/gradreports/1713

Authors' Contribution

All authors contributed equally to the development of this article.

Data availability

All datasets relevant to this study's findings are fully available within the article.

How to cite this article (APA)

Çiçek, Y., Üstün, M., & Şen, A. (2026). THE EFFICACY OF THE ROOT-AND-PATTERN SYSTEM IN VOCABULARY ACQUISITION: A MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF ARABIC. Veredas Do Direito, 23(6), e235622. https://doi.org/10.18623/rvd.v23.5622