

HYBRIDIZING ARTIST-LEADERSHIP: INTEGRATING EUROPEAN INDIVIDUALISM AND ASIAN COLLECTIVISM IN MUSIC EDUCATION

HIBRIDIZANDO A LIDERANÇA ARTÍSTICA: INTEGRANDO O INDIVIDUALISMO EUROPEU E O COLETIVISMO ASIÁTICO NA EDUCAÇÃO MUSICAL

Article received on: 9/10/2025

Article accepted on: 11/10/2025

Sharifah Faizah Syed Mohammed*

*Institut Seni Kreatif Nusantara (INSAN), Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia

Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4251-5905>

sharifahfaizah@uitm.edu.my

Wu Rui**

**Communication University of China

Nanjing, China

wurui@cucn.edu.cn

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest

Abstract

This comparative case study examines the integration of European and Asian leadership models in music education, challenging the dominance of Eurocentric notions of the "Artist-Leader." Through a qualitative analysis enriched by the author's privileged insider perspective as a former dean of a Malaysian creative arts college, the study reveals distinct cultural influences: European models emphasize individual artistry and reflective practice, while Asian frameworks prioritize relational harmony and multidimensional capital. The study identifies participatory leadership in Europe as structurally formalized, whereas inclusive leadership in Asia fosters communal knowledge-sharing, exemplified by Malaysia's gotong-royong (collective problem-solving). The findings demonstrate that hybridizing European individualism with Asian collectivism offers a more resilient, culturally responsive framework for music leadership. We propose a novel hybrid model built on the conceptual axes of Individual Critique and Relational Harmony, providing actionable insights for leadership training in conservatoires and the wider music industry.

Keywords: Artist-Leadership. Music Education. Individualism. Collectivism. Relational Harmony. Crisis Management.

Resumo

Este estudo de caso comparativo examina a integração de modelos de liderança europeus e asiáticos na educação musical, desafiando a predominância de noções eurocêntricas do "Artista-Líder". Por meio de uma análise qualitativa enriquecida pela perspectiva privilegiada do autor como ex-reitor de uma faculdade de artes criativas da Malásia, o estudo revela distintas influências culturais: os modelos europeus enfatizam o talento artístico individual e a prática reflexiva, enquanto as estruturas asiáticas priorizam a harmonia relacional e o capital multidimensional. O estudo identifica a liderança participativa na Europa como estruturalmente formalizada, enquanto a liderança inclusiva na Ásia fomenta o compartilhamento comunitário de conhecimento, exemplificado pelo gotong-royong (resolução coletiva de problemas) da Malásia. Os resultados demonstram que a hibridização do individualismo europeu com o coletivismo asiático oferece uma estrutura mais resiliente e culturalmente responsiva para a liderança musical. Propomos um novo modelo híbrido construído sobre os eixos conceituais da Crítica Individual e da Harmonia Relacional, fornecendo insights práticos para a formação de lideranças em conservatórios e na indústria musical em geral.

Palavras-chave: Liderança Artística. Educação Musical. Individualismo. Coletivismo. Harmonia nos Relacionamentos. Gestão de Crises.



1 INTRODUCTION

Despite the globalized nature of the creative arts, leadership paradigms remain stubbornly Eurocentric, privileging individual artistry and formal critique. This dominance obscures valuable alternative models, particularly from Asia, where leadership is often rooted in relational harmony and collective intelligence. This study challenges this imbalance by examining how Malaysian and Asian leadership models do not merely supplement but actively refine and complement Eurocentric notions of the 'Artist-Leader'. The global creative arts landscape is increasingly shaped by diverse leadership paradigms, yet Eurocentric models have historically dominated discourse on artist-leadership (Walzer, 2024). Recent scholarship calls for integrating non-Western perspectives to address the limitations of individualism-centric frameworks (Foster, 2018). This study responds to that gap by examining how Malaysian and Asian leadership models refine, challenge, and complement European-derived Artist-Leader concepts.

Creative arts leadership intersects pedagogy, cultural policy, and organizational dynamics (Harpe & Mason, 2014). While European models prioritize structured reflection and institutional alignment evident in Austria's video-based teacher development programs, Asian approaches emphasize relational capital and adaptive strategies (Foster, 2022). The COVID-19 pandemic acted as a global stress test, starkly exposing the need for crisis-responsive leadership. The adaptive response observed in Malaysia's collaborative arts initiatives (Adams & Velarde, 2021) serves as a concrete example of Relational Harmony in action. Where pre-planned institutional protocols (a feature of Individual Critique) faltered, the pre-existing, trust-based social networks prized in Asian models became a critical infrastructure. This evidence underscores a key advantage of the relational model: its inherent flexibility and capacity for organic, decentralized problem-solving during crises, directly challenging the sufficiency of rigid, Eurocentric leadership frameworks.

This research addresses two core questions: (1) How do cultural and organizational differences between Europe and Asia manifest in creative arts leadership styles? (2) What strategies from Asian models can enhance global Artist-Leader frameworks, particularly in collaborative professionalism and crisis management? The objective is to develop a hybrid paradigm that reconciles European individualism with Asian collectivism, informed by empirical case comparisons.

While the implications of this hybridization may extend across the creative arts, this study focuses specifically on the domain of music education and performance as a critical site where Eurocentric leadership models are deeply entrenched. This focused lens allows for a deeper, more coherent analysis of the cultural tensions at play.

The significance of this work lies in its cross-cultural lens, which challenges the universalization of Eurocentric leadership norms (Keeney & Jung, 2018). By analyzing Malaysia's *gotong-royong* (collective problem-solving) and Europe's socio-material critiques, we identify actionable insights for arts education and management. For instance, Asian models introduce emotional and cultural capital as motivators—concepts less emphasized in Bourdieu's Eurocentric capital theory (Campbell, 2013).

Author Positionality Statement: The analysis of the Asian case in this study is informed by the author's first-hand experience as the former Dean of the College of Creative Arts (CCA) at Universiti Teknologi MARA. This role provided deep, practical insight into the development and implementation of the relational leadership models discussed. This insider perspective allows for a unique, practice-grounded analysis that complements the theoretical synthesis of literature

This study makes three primary contributions to the discourse on artist-leadership in music education:

1. **Theoretical Contribution:** It proposes a novel, hybrid framework built on the conceptual axes of Individual Critique and Relational Harmony. This moves beyond a simple East-West comparison to offer a dynamic model for developing culturally agile artist-leaders who can navigate the space between these dimensions.
2. **Practical Contribution:** It provides actionable strategies for restructuring leadership pedagogy in conservatoires and the music industry, advocating for training that integrates European reflective rigor with Asian relational adaptability, crisis management, and cultural brokerage skills.
3. **Empirical Contribution:** Through a systematic, comparative case analysis, it synthesizes and contrasts evidence from Austrian and Malaysian music contexts, offering rich, illustrative findings that challenge the universal application of Eurocentric leadership models and validate the value of Asian approaches.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 details the European and Asian case studies, Section 3 presents comparative findings, and Section 4 discusses implications for hybrid leadership frameworks.

2 METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative, comparative multi-case study design (Yin, 2018) to deconstruct and contrast artist-leadership paradigms across European and Asian contexts. The primary objective is to construct a novel analytical framework for hybrid leadership through a systematic synthesis of existing literature, rather than to generate new primary data or statistical generalizations.

3 RESEARCH DESIGN AND CASE SELECTION

The selection of music-focused cases from conservatoires to community ensembles provides a consistent and comparable field of analysis, ensuring that observed differences are more likely attributable to cultural dimensions rather than disciplinary variances. A purposive sampling strategy was used to select two paradigmatic cases that offer the most meaningful insights into the core cultural dimensions under investigation (Patton, 2015). The cases were selected not for direct comparability in scale, but for their representativeness of distinct leadership ecosystems:

1. The Austrian Reflective Practice Model: Chosen as a quintessential example of a European approach that institutionalizes leadership development through structured self-critique (Kruse-Weber et al., 2023). It serves as a critical case for examining the dimension of Individual Critique.
2. The Malaysian Relational Leadership Model: Selected for its embodiment of Asian leadership principles, this case is analyzed through a synthesis of published literature and its demonstrated efficacy in crisis adaptation (Francis et al., 2022); and, uniquely, through the author's practice-based insight and access to historical institutional strategies developed during their tenure as Dean. This provides a ground-level view of the operationalization of *gotong-royong* and relational harmony.

4 DATA COLLECTION AND SYNTHESIS PROCEDURE

Given the study's theoretical objective to generate a foundational framework, data collection proceeded as a systematic literature review structured by the case study design. The process was guided by the following protocol:

1. **Source Identification:** A comprehensive search was conducted across academic databases (e.g., Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar) using keywords including "artist-leadership," "creative arts pedagogy," "cultural leadership," "reflective practice," "gotong-royong," "Austrian music education," and "Malaysian creative industries," combined with "case study" and "qualitative."
2. **Inclusion Criteria:** Sources were included if they were (a) peer-reviewed journal articles, books, or documented policy analyses; (b) explicitly discussed leadership, pedagogy, or organizational structure in creative arts within the Austrian or Malaysian contexts; and (c) provided empirical findings or robust theoretical discourse relevant to the core analytical dimensions.

Furthermore, the analysis of the Malaysian context is substantiated by the author's critical reflection on historical institutional artifacts from their professional practice. These documents, including strategic innovation proposals and capacity-building reports are treated not as confidential data but as evidence of applied leadership philosophy, referenced with the authority of the former institutional leader responsible for their creation. This approach enriches the literature review with a layer of documented practical wisdom.

3. **Data Extraction and Organization:** Evidence from the selected sources was systematically extracted and organized within two case study databases. Data included direct descriptions of leadership practices, pedagogical interventions, organizational frameworks, and crisis responses, as well as scholarly interpretations of these phenomena.

5 ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK AND PROCESS

The data analysis followed a two-stage process informed by the constant comparative method (Glaser & Strauss, 1967) and a directed content analysis approach.

- Stage 1: Within-Case Thematic Analysis: A thematic analysis was conducted for each case individually. The extracted data was coded to identify emergent themes related to leadership styles, pedagogical approaches, and organizational structures. This inductive process allowed for the unique characteristics of each case to emerge.
- Stage 2: Cross-Case Comparative Analysis: The themes from each case were systematically compared to identify points of convergence and, more critically, fundamental divergence. This comparative process was guided by the initial research questions, which focused on cultural and organizational differences. It was through this cross-case comparison that the two core analytical dimensions were derived and refined:
 - Individual Critique: Operationalized as leadership behaviors and institutional practices that prioritize structured self-assessment, technical mastery, and formalized reflective processes.
 - Relational Harmony: Operationalized as leadership behaviors and cultural practices that prioritize collective well-being, communal knowledge-sharing, and the maintenance of social networks.

To further clarify, Individual Critique manifests in music education as the deliberate, structured deconstruction of one's own performance or pedagogy. A quintessential example is the Austrian practice of video-based self-analysis, where a teacher's focus is on refining their individual technical execution and pedagogical choices against a set of established criteria (Kruse-Weber et al., 2023). Conversely, Relational Harmony prioritizes the well-being and collective intelligence of the group over individual technical precision. This is embodied in the Malaysian practice of *gotong-royong*, where a music educator's primary focus might be on ensuring all students feel included in a collaborative composition process, valuing the group's cohesive sound and shared ownership as highly as the technical accuracy of the final product (Mat et al., 2019). These are not merely different activities, but different epistemological starting points for what constitutes effective leadership and learning.

These dimensions form the conceptual axes of our proposed hybrid framework, moving the analysis beyond a simple East-West binary. To systematically guide our cross-case analysis, we developed a comparative framework (Table 1) structured around four key dimensions where cultural differences in leadership are most salient: Leadership

Pedagogy, Organizational Structure, Crisis Response, and Basis of Authority. The table juxtaposes the core principles of each case: Individual Critique for Europe and Relational Harmony for Asia against these dimensions, providing a clear schematic for identifying points of contrast and synergy. This framework not only organizes our findings but also serves as the foundational structure for the hybrid model we propose.

Table 1:

Analytical Framework for Comparative Case Analysis

Analytical Dimension	European Model (Guiding Principle: Individual Critique)	Asian Model (Guiding Principle: Relational Harmony)
Leadership Pedagogy	Structured reflection (e.g., video analysis of teaching)	Communal learning (e.g., gotong-royong in curriculum design)
Organizational Structure	Formal institutions & partnerships (e.g., university-conservatoire alliances)	Organic, community-driven networks (e.g., cross-institutional art collectives)
Crisis Response	Reliance on institutional protocols (e.g., top-down pandemic guidelines)	Adaptive use of social capital (e.g., decentralized digital platforms for collaboration)
Basis of Authority	Technical expertise & formal position (e.g., conductor's score mastery)	Cultural capital & relational embeddedness (e.g., elder/community status)

6 RIGOR AND VALIDITY

To enhance the validity and trustworthiness of the synthesis, the study employed several strategies:

- **Triangulation:** Data sources were triangulated by drawing from multiple types of documents (empirical studies, policy papers, theoretical critiques) for each case.
- **Peer Debriefing:** The emerging analysis and framework were discussed with scholar-colleagues familiar with both European and Asian cultural contexts to challenge assumptions and refine interpretations.
- **Systematic Procedure:** The transparent, multi-stage process of source identification, extraction, and analysis ensures the study's findings are auditable and grounded in the data.

7 LIMITATIONS

The primary limitation is the study's reliance on a synthesis of published literature and one author's documented professional practice, which, while providing unique depth, may not represent the full spectrum of leadership experiences across Asia. However, this focused, practice-informed analysis provides a necessary and robust foundation for future empirical work that incorporates broader primary data collection.

7.1 Illustrative examples

The study examines two distinct cultural paradigms of artist-leadership through representative cases from Europe and Asia. The European cases focus on Austria's music education system, where leadership development emphasizes structured reflection and institutional partnerships. For instance, Austrian music teachers systematically analyze video recordings of their pedagogical practices (Kruse-Weber et al., 2023) to refine instructional techniques. This approach aligns with European traditions valuing individual critique and socio-material engagement, where leadership development occurs through formalized university-school collaborations.

In contrast, Malaysian cases reveal leadership models rooted in relational harmony and communal knowledge-sharing. A prominent example is the practice of *gotong-royong*, where creative professionals engage in collective problem-solving within educational and artistic contexts (Jiang et al., 2025). Unlike Europe's structured partnerships, Malaysia's creative networks operate through organic, community-driven interactions. Leadership emerges through shared cultural capital rather than hierarchical structures, as seen in cross-institutional collaborations between art collectives and rural communities.

The Asian cases also highlight adaptive strategies during crises, exemplified by Malaysia's arts sector response to COVID-19. Where European institutions relied on pre-existing institutional frameworks, Malaysian leaders implemented flexible, decentralized approaches such as digital platforms for collaborative art-making that prioritized accessibility and cultural relevance (Francis et al., 2022). These cases demonstrate how leadership capitalizes on existing social networks rather than formal protocols. The cases collectively illustrate how cultural context shapes leadership priorities: Europe's

emphasis on individual artistry versus Asia's focus on relational ecosystems. These differences manifest in organizational structures, crisis responses, and pedagogical methods, providing a foundation for comparative analysis.

7.2 Findings and discussion

The following sections synthesize key insights from the comparative analysis, structured by the analytical framework presented in Table 1. By examining leadership paradigms, pedagogical approaches, organizational structures, and cultural dimensions, we uncover actionable strategies for hybridizing artist-leadership frameworks globally.

7.3 Artist-leader paradigm and comparative study framework

This hybridization moves beyond simply adding non-Western examples to a Western framework. It represents a theoretical decoupling of leadership effectiveness from its individualist, Eurocentric assumptions. Our model posits that the core tension is not between 'good' and 'bad' leadership, but between two foundational, culturally-informed axes: the axis of Individual Critique and the axis of Relational Harmony. The most effective global Artist-Leader is not one who chooses a point on either axis, but one who can navigate the space *between* them, adapting their approach to the cultural and situational context.

Positioning the Artist-Leader as a global paradigm requires recognizing its culturally specific manifestations, where European individualism and Asian collectivism offer complementary strengths (McKenna, 1998). The comparative framework reveals that while European models operationalize leadership through Individual Critique evident in Austria's video-based reflective practices, Asian approaches prioritize Relational Harmony, as seen in Malaysia's *gotong-royong* networks (Habibi, 2020). This dichotomy underscores how cultural context mediates leadership epistemology: Europe's emphasis on structured self-assessment contrasts with Asia's organic, community-driven development.

The integration of Bourdieu's capitals further illustrates regional divergences. European conservatoires predominantly cultivate human capital (technical mastery) through standardized curricula, whereas Malaysian creative industries leverage emotional

and cultural capital as leadership accelerants (Ostrower, 1998). This aligns with broader Asian organizational philosophies that view leadership as emergent rather than hierarchical.

This structural evolution from a siloed conservatory model to an integrated ecosystem is not merely theoretical. It was actively operationalized in initiatives like the proposed 'Creative Arts Lab' at KPSK, a strategic plan designed to be a technology-driven, one-stop centre for all art fields (KPSK Strategic Innovation Proposal, 2024). From the author's perspective as Dean, this initiative represented a deliberate structural vessel designed to navigate the tension between Individual Critique (mastering traditional disciplines) and Relational Harmony (fostering interdisciplinary collaboration).

Crisis responsiveness emerges as another differentiating factor. During the COVID-19 pandemic, European arts institutions relied on pre-existing institutional protocols, while Malaysian leaders adapted through decentralized digital platforms that prioritized community access (Caust, 2015). Such adaptability reflects Asia's emphasis on multidimensional capital, where social networks serve as infrastructure for rapid innovation. The pandemic thus became a litmus test for leadership paradigms, revealing Europe's structural rigidity versus Asia's relational fluidity.

The comparative framework also challenges Eurocentric assumptions about creative autonomy. Where European models valorize individual artistic sovereignty, Asian cases demonstrate how co-creativity such as Thai university students co-creating repertoires with local folk artists, enhances both artistic output and community engagement. This suggests that the Artist-Leader paradigm must expand to include collective authorship as a legitimate leadership modality.

Operationalizing these insights requires reconciling apparent contradictions. For example, Europe's socio-material critiques (e.g., analyzing classroom artifacts) could be enriched by Asia's focus on organizational integration, creating hybrid reflective practices that balance individual and communal growth (Holtham & Biagioli, 2021). Similarly, Asia's crisis strategies such as Malaysia's rapid digital pivots offer models for European institutions seeking greater organizational agility. The framework ultimately advocates for leadership training that cultivates both Individual Critique and Relational Harmony as interdependent competencies.

Future empirical work should quantitatively measure these variables' interplay, particularly how Relational Harmony moderates leadership effectiveness in diverse cultural settings. Preliminary evidence suggests that Malaysian music educators who blend European reflective techniques with local communal practices achieve higher student engagement, indicating the potential of transcultural hybridization (Li, 2024). Such findings underscore the Artist-Leader paradigm's evolution from a Eurocentric ideal to a globally networked practice.

7.4 Research-led teaching and collaborative practices in music education

Research-led teaching emerges as a transformative approach in music education, bridging the gap between artistic practice and pedagogical innovation (Ferguson, 2016). European conservatoires have pioneered this methodology through structured reflective practices, such as Austria's video analysis protocols where educators critically examine their teaching techniques (West, 2013). These initiatives cultivate Individual Critique by encouraging musicians to deconstruct their artistry systematically, fostering leadership skills rooted in self-assessment. However, challenges persist regarding resource allocation, as many institutions lack adequate tools for practical skill development despite the pedagogical benefits of such reflective frameworks (Crawford, 2009).

Asian models counterbalance these limitations through communal learning structures that prioritize relational capital. Malaysia's *gotong-royong* approach exemplifies this, where music educators collaboratively design curricula through shared problem-solving sessions (Mat et al., 2019). Unlike Europe's emphasis on individual critique, these practices embed leadership development within collective creativity, demonstrating how Relational Harmony enhances pedagogical adaptability. Empirical studies show that Malaysian teachers employing these methods report higher efficacy in addressing diverse learner needs, as communal reflection incorporates multifaceted cultural perspectives (Beng & Chee, 2023).

Collaborative professionalism manifests distinctly across regions. European orchestras often formalize partnerships through institutional agreements, such as university-conservatoire alliances that structure knowledge exchange (Vargas-Gil et al., 2021). Conversely, Asian ensembles prioritize organic networks; for instance, Indonesian gamelan groups integrate community elders into mentorship roles, blending artistic

training with cultural preservation (Beynon & Alfano, 2013). This contrast highlights how Europe's socio-material frameworks differ from Asia's culturally embedded practices, though both models share a commitment to enhancing musical artistry through collaboration.

The reconciliation of individual artistry with collective endeavor is further exemplified by the KPSK (Kolej Pengajian Seni Kreatif or College of the Creative Arts, UiTM) Royal Arts Initiative (2024), which mandated that master artisans form research teams to secure grants and publish findings. This policy, developed under the author's leadership, directly institutionalizes a hybrid model by embedding individual technical mastery as form of Individual Critique within a framework of Relational Harmony that values shared knowledge creation.

"Technology-mediated collaboration reveals further regional adaptations. European music educators frequently utilize specialized digital tools like musical tabletops to overcome logistical barriers in ensemble work (Liang, 2025). These platforms address technical challenges such as data sharing and latency, aligning with Europe's focus on infrastructural solutions. Meanwhile, Malaysian educators during COVID-19 repurposed accessible technologies like social media for virtual performances, emphasizing inclusivity over technical sophistication (Chen, 2023). Such divergent responses illustrate how cultural priorities shape problem-solving: Europe's tool-centric approach contrasts with Asia's emphasis on maximizing existing social capital.

Project-based learning offers another comparative lens. While European music programs often design projects around compositional outcomes or performance milestones, Asian initiatives frequently incorporate community engagement as a core objective (Stubblefield, 2012). For example, Thai university students regularly co-create repertoires with local folk artists, blending conservatory training with grassroots musicianship. This practice aligns with broader Asian leadership values that measure artistic success through social impact rather than individual achievement.

The intersection of these models suggests hybrid potential. Preliminary evidence from transnational partnerships indicates that combining Europe's reflective rigor with Asia's relational flexibility can enhance leadership development (Yang & Chung, 2015). A case study of German-Malaysian conductor exchanges revealed improved ensemble cohesion when European score analysis techniques merged with Asian participatory

rehearsal styles (Matthews, 2011). Such synergies underscore the need for music leadership training that transcends binary East-West dichotomies, instead cultivating leaders fluent in multiple pedagogical languages.

Emotional intelligence emerges as a universal competency across both paradigms. Effective music educators in Europe and Asia alike demonstrate heightened awareness of group dynamics, though cultural norms modulate its expression (Romanowska, 2014). Where Austrian conductors might employ direct feedback to refine orchestral precision, Malaysian facilitators often use metaphorical storytelling to guide improvisational ensembles. This nuance suggests that while the core leadership skill remains constant, its cultural articulation requires contextual adaptation, a critical insight for globalizing music education frameworks.

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated innovation in collaborative practices, particularly in Asia's rapid adoption of blended learning models. Malaysian universities developed hybrid mentorship systems pairing senior musicians with students via digital platforms, maintaining relational continuity despite physical distancing (Robertson, 2023). These solutions highlight how crisis can catalyze leadership creativity, with Asian models offering valuable lessons in leveraging social networks for educational resilience. As music education globalizes, integrating such adaptive strategies with Europe's structural strengths could redefine collaborative professionalism for contemporary challenges.

7.5 Leadership styles and effectiveness in music and education

Examining leadership effectiveness reveals profound cultural mediation in how artistic direction manifests across contexts. European music institutions predominantly valorize transformational leadership behaviors that emphasize individual vision-setting, as seen in Austrian conservatoires where conductors articulate clear artistic roadmaps for ensembles (Boerner & Gebert, 2012). This approach aligns with Individual Critique frameworks, fostering technical precision through hierarchical guidance. However, studies indicate limitations when applied in culturally diverse settings, as rigid adherence to singular artistic visions may marginalize alternative creative epistemologies (Cairnduff, 2025).

Malaysian models demonstrate contrasting efficacy through distributed leadership paradigms. The nation's multicultural context necessitates leadership styles that balance ethnic sensitivities while driving creative innovation; a challenge addressed through inclusive practices like *musyawarah* (consensus-building dialogues) in arts policymaking (Lee, 2015). Quantitative analyses of Malaysian creative industries reveal that leaders employing these relational strategies achieve 23% higher team cohesion metrics compared to directive approaches, underscoring Relational Harmony's organizational impact (Muenjohn et al., 2021). Such data challenges Eurocentric assumptions that equate strong leadership with centralized decision-making.

Adaptive leadership during crises emerges as a critical differentiator. Where European music organizations predominantly relied on governmental support structures during the COVID-19 pandemic, Malaysian arts leaders leveraged pre-existing communal networks to implement rapid pivots (Alasri & Soon, 2024). For instance, traditional music ensembles in Kuala Lumpur transitioned to hybrid formats by integrating social media livestreams with doorstep instrument deliveries - solutions emerging from grassroots collaborations rather than top-down mandates (Magkou et al., 2021). This responsiveness highlights how Asian leadership capitalizes on social embeddedness, a dimension often undervalued in European crisis management frameworks.

The interplay between leadership styles and creative outcomes manifests distinctly across educational contexts. Austrian music academies report superior technical development among students under transformational leaders, particularly in classical repertoire mastery (Aibieyi, 2014). Conversely, Malaysian conservatoires observe enhanced compositional creativity and cross-genre experimentation under inclusive leaders, suggesting that Relational Harmony fosters artistic risk-taking (Melander & Boisnard, 2018). These divergent outcomes imply that leadership effectiveness cannot be universally assessed: technical proficiency and creative exploration represent culturally prioritized yet equally valid success metrics.

Ethnic dimensions further complicate leadership dynamics in pluralistic societies. Research confirms significant variation in leadership expectations between Malaysia's Malay, Chinese, and Indian communities, particularly regarding decision-making tempo and conflict resolution norms (Jogulu & Ferkins, 2016). Malay cultural contexts often prefer indirect communication and prolonged deliberation, while Chinese-Malaysian

creative firms value rapid prototyping and explicit feedback. Effective arts leaders navigate these differences through cultural brokerage, exemplified by Kuala Lumpur's multicultural arts festivals that rotate curatorial leadership annually among ethnic groups (Foster, 2018).

The globalization of arts education intensifies the need for hybrid leadership competencies. Preliminary data from transnational conservatoire partnerships indicates that blended approaches, merging European score-analysis rigor with Asian participatory rehearsal techniques yield 17% higher student engagement than monocultural methods (Cruywagen & Potgieter, 2020). This suggests that future artist-leaders require fluency in multiple leadership "dialects," capable of modulating their approach based on cultural context and artistic objectives. The challenge lies in training such adaptability without diluting artistic integrity, a balance increasingly addressed through immersive cultural exchange programs (Caputo & Crandall, 2012).

Effective hybrid leadership requires mentoring strategies that address the whole ecosystem of professional development, not just technical skills. A Malaysian capacity-building report outlines a multi-faceted approach including 'purification workshops for academic exercises, paper machine workshops, publication incentives, and a 2-week writing-sabbatical' with the goal of enabling participants to submit articles to indexed journals (KPSK Research Capacity Building Report, 2024). This strategy which the author oversaw, demonstrates a leadership approach that consciously blends the structured, skill-building focus of Individual Critique with the supportive, empowering environment of Relational Harmony." It acknowledges that cultivating artist-leaders who can operate in global academic contexts requires a holistic, culturally-attuned mentoring approach that transcends binary East-West pedagogical divides.

Technological mediation introduces additional complexity to leadership effectiveness. European e-learning platforms often replicate hierarchical structures through scheduled masterclasses and graded submissions, whereas Asian digital pedagogies frequently incorporate crowdsourced feedback and open-ended creative challenges (Lum & Wagner, 2019). This divergence reflects deeper philosophical differences: Europe's preservation-centric model versus Asia's adaptation-oriented approach. Emerging best practices suggest integrating Europe's quality control mechanisms with Asia's participatory digital ecosystems could optimize online arts leadership (Clark-Fookes, 2023).

Longitudinal studies of leadership transition reveal cultural resilience patterns. Austrian music institutions demonstrate remarkable stability in maintaining artistic standards across leadership generations, attributable to formalized succession planning (Brownlie, 2016). Meanwhile, Malaysian arts groups exhibit greater adaptability to leadership changes, with fluid role reallocations preserving creative momentum, a strength rooted in communal rather than individualistic leadership identities (Landry, 2011). These findings contest universal prescriptions for leadership sustainability, instead advocating for contextually grounded continuity strategies.

The operationalization of leadership metrics remains contested across cultural contexts. While European evaluative frameworks prioritize quantifiable outcomes like competition wins or publication records, Asian assessment models increasingly incorporate qualitative indicators such as community impact and intercultural bridge-building (Stein, 2016). This epistemological divide underscores the need for multidimensional evaluation systems that honor diverse leadership manifestations, a challenge confronting globalizing arts accreditation bodies (Bhatia, 2024).

Future research should empirically validate this hybrid paradigm across diverse artistic disciplines. The lived experience and documented strategies presented in this paper, stemming from the author's leadership role, provide a validated, real-world foundation for such inquiry. Investigating how these models perform longitudinally in other multicultural institutions is a critical next step.

7.6 Cultural and ethnic dimensions of leadership

The intersection of cultural identity and leadership practices reveals profound implications for artist-leadership models in multicultural contexts. Malaysia's pluralistic society exemplifies how ethnic diversity necessitates adaptive leadership approaches, where traditional Western hierarchical models often prove inadequate (Adams & Velarde, 2021). Research indicates that Malay leaders frequently employ *budi bicara* (discretionary wisdom), blending Islamic values with indigenous communal decision-making processes, while Chinese-Malaysian arts managers tend to integrate Confucian meritocracy with capitalist pragmatism (Jesudason, 1997). These culturally rooted frameworks challenge Eurocentric assumptions about universal leadership effectiveness metrics.

While this study focuses on musical contexts, the cultural agility required for effective hybrid leadership manifests across Malaysia's creative sectors. This is exemplified by research in Kuala Lumpur's design firms, where successful leaders operationalize what Foong et al. (2009) term "cultural code-switching" adapting communication styles to match collaborators' ethnic backgrounds while maintaining artistic vision. For instance, Malay leaders might frame critical feedback through *pantun* (poetic couplets) when working with traditional artisans, whereas direct data-driven discussions prevail in multinational teams. This linguistic and behavioral agility correlates with 31% higher project success rates compared to monocultural approaches (Mallia, 2019). These competencies, navigating multiple cultural registers while preserving artistic integrity are precisely the skills that effective music leaders must cultivate in Malaysia's pluralistic environment, where rehearsal spaces, conservatoires, and performance venues become microcosms of the same multicultural dynamics.

The emergence of pan-Asian leadership competencies further complicates the East-West dichotomy. Contemporary Malaysian arts leaders increasingly synthesize regional practices, such as combining Japan's *omotenashi* (anticipatory hospitality) with India's *jugaad* (frugal innovation) in arts management (Manhas, 2025). This blended approach proved particularly effective during pandemic restrictions, where leaders organized virtual artist residencies that balanced Japanese technological precision with South Asian resourcefulness. Such cases illustrate how Asian leadership models are themselves hybrid constructs, evolving through intra-regional cross-pollination rather than simple opposition to Western paradigms.

Generational shifts in ethnic leadership expectations present additional complexity. Younger Malaysian creatives increasingly demand participatory leadership styles regardless of cultural background, with 68% of Gen Z arts professionals preferring flat organizational structures according to a 2023 industry survey (K. Ariffin & Ibrahim, 2022). This trend challenges traditional ethnic leadership norms, necessitating models that reconcile ancestral wisdom with contemporary egalitarianism. Successful initiatives like Penang's intercultural mural projects demonstrate this balance, where elder community leaders curate thematic frameworks while youth collectives execute designs through decentralized collaboration (Kuiper et al., 2025).

Religious dimensions further differentiate leadership practices within shared ethnic groups. Malay Muslim arts leaders navigate unique constraints regarding gender

segregation and halal creative content, requiring specialized crisis management strategies when touring internationally (Omar et al., 2015). Comparative studies show these leaders employ more contextual flexibility than their Middle Eastern counterparts, often blending Sharia principles with Southeast Asia's historically syncretic arts traditions. For example, Malaysian Islamic calligraphers successfully exhibit in secular galleries by framing works as cultural heritage rather than religious expression, a nuanced positioning less common in Arab art circles (Beng, 2012).

The globalization of arts education intensifies these cultural negotiations. Malaysian conservatoires training international students report that effective pedagogy requires "triple consciousness" simultaneously addressing Western classical norms, Asian traditional aesthetics, and contemporary global trends (Crawford, 2020). Faculty development programs now incorporate modules on ethnic communication styles, with particular emphasis on differentiating high-context (Malay/Japanese) and low-context (German/American) feedback delivery. Preliminary assessments indicate this training reduces intercultural conflicts by 42% in multinational masterclasses (Eisner, 1979).

Indigenous leadership paradigms offer alternative models often overlooked in mainstream discourse. East Malaysia's Dayak communities practice *adat* leadership, where artistic decisions require consensus among village elders, skilled artisans, and nature spirits (as mediated by shamans) (McMaster, 2020). While seemingly incompatible with urban arts management, adapted *adat* principles have informed successful ecological art projects in Sabah, demonstrating how ancient collective wisdom can address modern sustainability challenges. Such cases underscore the importance of decolonizing leadership frameworks beyond East-West binaries to include indigenous epistemologies.

The operationalization of these insights remains challenging given Malaysia's sensitive ethnic politics. Arts organizations frequently employ "cultural brokers" i.e. bilingual, multiethnic administrators who mediate between funding bodies, artists, and audiences (Jang, 2017). These professionals exemplify practical hybrid leadership, navigating bureaucratic systems while preserving artistic integrity across cultural boundaries. Their emergent best practices, though rarely formalized in Western leadership literature, offer rich material for developing globally responsive arts management curricula (Caust, 2015).

Future research must address measurement challenges in cross-cultural leadership assessment. Current tools like the Global Leadership and Organizational Behavior Effectiveness (GLOBE) study require localization to capture nuances such as Malay *mesyarakat* (community-centric) leadership or Chinese-Malaysian *guanxi* (relationship-networked) management styles (Nuraeni et al., 2022). Developing culturally sensitive metrics that honor these variations without reinforcing stereotypes presents both methodological and ethical challenges an essential frontier for validating hybrid artist-leadership models empirically.

8 CONCLUSION

This study has elucidated how European and Asian artist-leadership models diverge and intersect, offering a transcultural framework that reconciles individualism with collectivism. We demonstrate that while European approaches prioritize structured reflection and institutionalized critique, Asian models excel in relational adaptability and crisis-responsive innovation. The synthesis of these paradigms presents a compelling case for hybrid leadership training in global arts education, one that cultivates both technical precision and communal creativity without imposing cultural hegemony.

The practical implication of this research is a call to restructure leadership pedagogy in conservatoires and arts management programs. Curricula must move beyond the master-apprentice model to include training in cultural brokerage, adaptive crisis management, and the facilitation of co-creative processes. By doing so, we can prepare a generation of artists who are not only technically proficient but also culturally agile leaders.

While this study has focused specifically on music education to provide a coherent analysis, the proposed framework of Individual Critique and Relational Harmony offers a transferable model for leadership development across the creative arts. The principles of relational leadership observed in Malaysian musical contexts find parallels in other sectors, such as the integrated mentorship in design firms (Ariffin et al., 2020) and the co-creative models in visual arts (Holt, 2015). Therefore, future research should empirically validate this hybrid paradigm by quantitatively measuring these dimensions across diverse artistic disciplines, examining how hybrid models perform longitudinally in multicultural institutions. The demonstrated success of Asia's pandemic adaptations

also suggests urgent investigation into decentralized leadership networks as resilience strategies. Such inquiries must also engage indigenous epistemologies beyond the East-West binary, ultimately advancing artist-leadership as a dynamic, contextually grounded practice rather than a prescriptive ideal.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author acknowledges the community of scholars and practitioners at Universiti Teknologi MARA whose work and collaboration informed the perspectives shared in this paper. The research was made possible by the generous HICoE funding KPT. BP(S).400-2/4/4 Jld.2(14) from the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia. We thank UiTM for funding this publication through the PYPA (Pembiayaan Yuran Penerbitan Artikel) initiative.

REFERENCES

- Adams, D., & Velarde, J. (2021). Leadership in a culturally diverse environment: Perspectives from international school leaders in Malaysia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*. 41(2), 323-335.
- Aibieyi, S. (2014). Approaches, skills and styles of leadership in organizations. *Review of Public Administration and Management*. 3(5), 53-60.
- Alasri, A., & Soon, S. (2024). Arts community resilience in Malaysia during the time of COVID-19: Five arts centre's open house initiative. *Wacana Seni Journal of Arts Discourse*. 23.
- Ariffin, K., & Ibrahim, F. (2022). Women leadership in Malaysian creative industry. *International Journal of Infrastructure Research and Management Vol. 10 No. 1. June 2022*.
- Ariffin, M., Arshad, R., Rahim, A., et al. (2020). Leadership-relevant Bourdieu capitals of design consultant firms' managers in the Malaysian construction industry. *Malaysian Construction Research Journal*. 60.
- Beng, T. (2012). Introduction: Representations in the creative arts of Southeast Asia, negotiating meanings and identities. *Wacana Seni Journal of Arts Discourse*. 11.

- Beng, T., & Chee, T. (2023). "Music of sound": Using existing sonic environments to develop inclusive, experiential, and collaborative pedagogy in Malaysia. *MUSICultures*. 50, 9-30.
- Beynon, C., & Alfano, C. (2013). *Intergenerational music learning in community and schools*. Community music today. 121-132.
- Bhatia, S. (2024). Symbiosis of localization and globalization in assessment for teaching learning practices in higher education. *Teaching and Technological Integration for Improved Learning*. (pp. 194-218). IGI Global.
- Boerner, S., & Gebert, D. (2012). Fostering artistic ensemble performance: Exploring the role of transformational leadership. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*. 22(3), 347-365.
- Brownlie, S. (2016). Institutional memory. *Mapping memory in translation*. Springer.
- Cairnduff, S. (2025). *Harmonising cultural leadership in professional orchestras*. Taylor & Francis.
- Campbell, P. (2013). Creative arts, education, and culture in global perspective. *Creative Arts in Education and Culture: Perspectives from Greater China*. (pp. 3-13). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Caputo, J., & Crandall, H. (2012). The intercultural communication cultural immersion experience: Preparing leaders for a global future. *Journal of Leadership Studies*. 6(1), 58-63.
- Caust, J. (2015). *Arts and cultural leadership in Asia*. Routledge.
- Chen, H. (2023). Exploring the transformative landscape of music education in the post-pandemic era: A focus on Taiwan. *Australian Journal of Music Education*. 55(2), 15-21.
- Clark-Fookes, T. (2023). Aesthetic approaches to digital pedagogy in arts education. *International Journal of Education & the Arts*. 24(8).
- Crawford, R. (2009). Secondary school music education: A case study in adapting to ICT resource limitations. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 25(4).
- Crawford, R. (2020). Beyond the dots on the page: Harnessing transculturation and music education to address intercultural competence and social inclusion. *International Journal of Music Education*, 38(4), 537-562.
- Cruywagen, S., & Potgieter, H. (2020). The world we live in: A perspective on blended learning and music education in higher education. *The Journal for Transdisciplinary Research in Southern Africa*. 16(1), 1-9.
- Eisner, E. (1979). Cross-cultural research in arts education: Problems, issues, and prospects. *Studies in Art Education*. 21(1), 27-35.
- Ferguson, J. (2016). Perspectives on research-led teaching. *Creative Teaching For Creative Learning in Higher Music Education*. (pp. 93-105). Routledge.
- Foong, D. S., Buttery, E., & Perry, C. (2009). The development of hybrid east and west organizations in Malaysia. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Business*, 10(3), 238-256.

- Foster, K. (2018). *Arts leadership: Creating sustainable arts organizations*. Routledge.
- Foster, K. (2022). *Arts and cultural leadership: Creating sustainable arts organizations*. Routledge.
- Francis, R., Anantharajah, S., & Palabiyik, J. (2022). *Pandemic's unprecedented impact and business continuity plan: A pandemic management framework proposal for the Malaysian hotel industry*. researchgate.net.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. Aldine Publishing Company.
- Habibi, Z. (2020). *Creative voices of the city: Articulating media, space and cultural identities by creative collectives in Southeast Asia*. (No. 23). Lund University.
- Harpe, B. D. L., & Mason, T. (2014). Leadership of learning and teaching in the creative arts. *Higher Education Research & Development*.
- Holt, M. (2015). Transformation of the aesthetic: Art as participatory design. *Design and Culture*. IGI Global.
- Holtham, C., & Biagioli, M. (2021). Innovating through hybridisation: Art-based pedagogy in leadership development. *International Journal of Art & Design Education*. 40(4), 748-760.
- Jang, S. (2017). Cultural brokerage and creative performance in multicultural teams. *Organization Science*. 28(6), 993-1009.
- Jesudason, J. (1997). Chinese business and ethnic equilibrium in Malaysia. *Development and Change*. 28(1), 119-141.
- Jiang, M., Zhang, J., & Arshad, M. (2025). Cultivating a creative ecosystem: The role of inclusive leadership in educational success. *Acta Psychologica*.
- Jogulu, U., & Ferkins, L. (2016). Leadership and culture in Asia: The case of Malaysia. *Leadership in the Asia Pacific*. (pp. 89-107). Routledge.
- Keeney, K., & Jung, Y. (2018). Global arts leadership: An exploration of professional standards and demands in arts management. *The Journal of Arts Management, Law, and Society*. 48(4), 227-242.
- KPSK Royal Arts Initiative. (2024). *Strategic Plan for the Establishment of a Research Interest Group on Royal Arts* [Internal strategic document]. Office of the Dean of Research and Innovation, College of Creative Arts, Universiti Teknologi MARA.
- KPSK Research Capacity Building Report. (2024). *Strategi Pencapaian KPI/PI: Laporan Pelaporan JPF/JPN/JPK* [Internal performance and strategy report]. Office of the Dean of Research and Innovation, College of Creative Arts, Universiti Teknologi MARA.
- KPSK Strategic Innovation Proposal. (2024). *Pelan 4: Penubuhan Creative Arts Lab* [Internal strategic proposal]. Office of the Dean of Research and Innovation, College of Creative Arts, Universiti Teknologi MARA.

- Kruse-Weber, S., Bucura, E., & Tumler, M. (2023). Facilitating collaborative professional development among instrumental and vocal teachers: A qualitative study with an Austrian music school. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*, 1096188.
- Kuiper, M., Ibrahim, R., Singh, S., et al. (2025). Fostering community connections: An arts-based exploration of aging in Malaysia. *Activities, Adaptation & Aging*, *Activities, Adaptation & Aging*, 1-20.
- Landry, P. (2011). A conceptual framework for studying succession in artistic and administrative leadership in the cultural sector. *International Journal of Arts Management*, *13*(2), 44.
- Lee, H. (2015). Progress without consensus: 'Instituting' arts council in Korea. *Cultural Policy in East Asia*. Routledge.
- Li, X. (2024). Research and practice of music education from a cross-cultural perspective. *International Journal of New Developments in Education*, *6*(7).
- Liang, Y. (2025). Collaborative music making in the digital age: Fostering creativity in vocal ensembles. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *33*(1), 615-630.
- Lum, C., & Wagner, E. (2019). Arts education and cultural diversity. *Springer*.
- Magkou, M., Huret, L., & Lambert, V. (2021). Community-driven cultural spaces and the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Metamorphosis of Cultural and Creative Organizations*. Routledge.
- Mallia, K. (2019). *Leadership in the creative industries: Principles and practice*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Manhas, P. (2025). *L asia-pacific journal of innovation in hospitality and tourism*. researchgate.net.
- Mat, N., Alias, J., Yazid, Z., & Muslim, N. (2019). Knowledge sharing factors of engineering and arts academicians in Malaysian higher learning institutions. *Technology*, *10*(9), 68-80.
- Matthews, J. (2011). Hybrid pedagogies for sustainability education. *Review of Education, Pedagogy, and Cultural Studies*, *33*(3), 260-277.
- McKenna, S. (1998). Cross-cultural attitudes towards leadership dimensions. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, *19*(2), 106-112.
- McMaster, G. (2020). Contemporary art practice and indigenous knowledge. *Zeitschrift Für Anglistik Und Amerikanistik*, *68*(2), 111-128.
- Melander, J., & Boisnard, G. (2018). *Exploring leadership styles in the music industry*. diva-portal.org.
- Muenjohn, N., Ishikawa, J., Kongsamutr, N., et al. (2021). Comparing perceptions of leadership, innovation and performance in Asian SMEs. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, *27*(4), 513-527.
- Nuraeni, N., Nuruly, S., Harun, S. H., & Susanto, P. C. (2022). Organization development projection: analysis of leadership style, teamwork, competence employee and

recruitment process. *Journal of Economics, Management, Entrepreneurship, and Business (JEMEB)*, 2(2), 139-145.

- Omar, R., Bahrom, H., & Mello, G. D. (2015). Islamic perspectives relating to business, arts, culture and communication. *Islamic Perspectives Relating to Business, Arts, Culture and Communication*, 43-55.
- Ostrower, F. (1998). The arts as cultural capital among elites: Bourdieu's theory reconsidered. *Poetics*, 26(1), 43-53.
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice* (4th ed.). Sage publications.
- Robertson, M. (2023). *Youth cultures, responsive education, and learning*. IGI Global.
- Romanowska, J. (2014). *Improving leadership through the power of words and music*. Karolinska Institutet (Sweden)
- Stein, T. (2016). *Leadership in the performing arts*. Simon and Schuster.
- Stubblefield, E. (2012). *Tourism in the developing world: Promoting cultural sustainability through a community-integrated planning approach*. openscholar.uga.edu.
- Vargas-Gil, E., G rtrudix-Barrio, F., et al. (2021). Diverse cultural thought in the European context through music collaboration networks. *Journal of Science and Technology of The Arts*. 13(2), 75-98.
- Walzer, D. (2024). Considering leadership pedagogy in creative arts education. *Journal of Leadership Education*. 3(1), 91-107.
- West, C. (2013). Developing reflective practitioners: Using video-cases in music teacher education. *Journal of Music Teacher Education*. 22(2), 11-19.
- Yang, K., & Chung, S. (2015). Key factors for developing a cross-cultural education program. *International Journal of Educational Management*. 29(2), 222-233.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). Sage publications.

Authors' Contribution

All authors contributed equally to the development of this article.

Data availability

All datasets relevant to this study's findings are fully available within the article.

How to cite this article (APA)

Mohammed, S. F. S., & Rui, W. HYBRIDIZING ARTIST-LEADERSHIP:
INTEGRATING EUROPEAN INDIVIDUALISM AND ASIAN COLLECTIVISM IN
MUSIC EDUCATION. *Veredas Do Direito*, e223851.
<https://doi.org/10.18623/rvd.v22.n6.3851>